



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 3

Serge J. Hodgson
Gunnison, McKay & Hodgson, L.L.P.
Suite 220
1900 Garden Road
Monterey, CA 93940

MAILED

JAN 18 2002

OFFICE OF PENDING PETITIONS
GROUP

In re Application of)
GLENN et al.)
Application No. 09/891,678) DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 25, 2001) TO MAKE SPECIAL
For: OPTICAL TRACK DRAIN PACKAGE)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102, filed on June 25, 2001, to make the above-identified application special.

Petitioner requests that the above-identified application be made special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item IV: Applicant's Age.

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 and in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item IV, must include a showing, as by a birth certificate or the applicant's statement, that the applicant is sixty five (65) years of age or more. No fee is required for this petition.

The petition includes a copy of a driver license issued to the applicant as a showing that applicant is sixty five (65) years of age or more.

Accordingly, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for expedited prosecution.

If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, he/she is authorized to do so for the next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a *bona fide* effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

If the examiner finds an interfering application for the same subject matter, he/she should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such application that he/she is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference.

Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application become involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

After allowance, this application will be given priority for printing. See M.P.E.P. § 1309.

The petition is granted to the extent indicated.



Hien H. Phan, Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 2800
Semiconductors, Electrical and Optical
Systems and Components